## Task Force on Improving NEPA Exhibit 2

Testimony of Regional Forester Gail Kimbell April 23, 2005 – Spokane, Washington Chronology Jimtown Vegetation Project

M 2000	Consider in initiate of Conservation of The conservation of the consideration of
May, 2000	Scoping initiated for project. The purpose of the project is to
	create sustainable conditions less prone to stand-replacing fire
	within a ponderosa pine forest.
May, 2001	Decision Notice issued. The decision implements 860 acres of
	forest thinning using timber harvest with subsequent
	underburning and 220 acres of underburning alone.
June, 2001	Native Ecosystems Council appeals the decision.
August, 2001	Regional Forester affirms the decision, appeal denied.
October, 2001	Native Ecosystems Council files a complaint in District Court to permanently enjoin the project.
July, 2003	A human-caused fire which originated within the Jimtown
	Project area was reported about noon west of the Jimtown
	Road. By nightfall the fire had jumped the county road, forced
	evacuation of the area residents, taken out the power for the
	nearby community of York, and burned about 600 acres. The
	fire ultimately burned just over 1,000 acres and cost \$1 million
	to suppress.
	to suppress.
	Approximately 50% of the project area slated for thinning was
	burned in a mixed lethal fire or running crown fire. The fire
	spread was quite rapid and fire intensity was severe. For that
	reason, firefighting activities were essentially limited to slurry
	drops by air tankers and flanking actions by ground forces with
	more aggressive action along defensible spaces on private
	property. FS personnel have concluded that completion of the
	fuel reduction actions tied to the Jimtown project would have
	allowed firefighters to safely take more direct action against a
	lower intensity ground fire, resulting in much quicker control
	with fewer burned acres.
March, 2004	
	The U.S. District Court issues an order denying Native
	Ecosystem Council's motion for Summary Judgement.
March, 2004	Native Ecoystem appeals the District Court ruling to the Ninth
	Circuit Court.
February, 2005	Ninth Circuit panel hears oral argument of the case. As of
	4/19/05, the case is awaiting disposition.